UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,209	04/02/2004	Michel Rynderman	A20030010(2)	2395
26643 7590 03/21/2008 PETER J. GORDON, PATENT COUNSEL AVID TECHNOLOGY, INC.			EXAMINER	
			GRANT II, JEROME	
ONE PARK WEST TEWKSBURY, MA 01876			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/817,209	RYNDERMAN, MICHEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jerome Grant II	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	- action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	_						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
,	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	· · <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Detailed Action

112 2nd Para. Rejection

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1-3, references is made to "data" in claim 1, line 7, however, it is not clear if reference is being made to the data stored on a computer readable medium or if the data is referred to image data. Correction is required.

112 1st Para. Rejection

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Corrections are required.

Claims 1-11 and 20 refer to macroblocks for a plurality of lines followed by padding.

The specification does not provide an enabling disclosure for this teaching. In the specification at page 5, second paragraph, it states that multiple lines are divided into a macroblock. However, it is not clearly taught specifically how the division is to take place,. Is the division by an integer or a fraction? It is not clear if the band is divided into a number of macroblocks or are all of the bands of a single image stored in a macroblock?

The specification refers to "padding" as a process for terminating data on a boundary.

But what is the boundary? Is it a boundary of the band, of a specific line in the band, or is it in the macroblock? It is not clear what is meant by boundary since it could have several interpretations.

Claims 12-19 and 22 refer to "macroblocks including a plurality of macroblocks..." This is confusing for the reason the speciation, at page 5, second para. Lines 5-6 the set of macroblocks that define a band is called herein a macroblock rasterscan. There does not appear to be a teaching for what is claimed.

Claims 1-22 either refer to a band of lines followed by padding or macroblocks followed by padding, however, the specification seems to support that padding occurs after a coding result of the rasterized macroblock. It appears that the claim is reciting the limitation which is not in support for that provided in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,209 Page 4

Art Unit: 2625

A general search for art has been conducted however, the examiner is of the opinion that such an application against the claims, would be a premature judgment for or against patentability for the reason of a lack of a clear description of the invention and claims that have an interpretation in light of the specification to be extremely broad.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,209 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Grant II whose telephone number is 571-272-7463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jerome Grant II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2625